Networks: what? what for? how?

<https://mia.toulouse.inra.fr/NETBIO>

Julien Chiquet, Étienne Delannoy, Marie-Laure Martin-Magniette, Françoise Monéger, Guillem Rigaill & Nathalie Villa-Vialaneix

NETBIO, Paris - November 9th 2017

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

3 [How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

[How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

What is a graph? graphe

Mathematical object used to model relational data between entities.

 QQ

What is a graph? graphe

Mathematical object used to model relational data between entities.

The entities are called nodes or vertices nœuds/sommets

What is a graph? graphe

Mathematical object used to model relational data between entities.

A relation between two entities is modeled by an edge arête

Graphs are a way to represent biological knowledge

Nodes can be...

genes, mRNAs, proteins, small RNAs, hormones, metabolites, species, populations, individuals, ...

Graphs are a way to represent biological knowledge

Nodes can be...

genes, mRNAs, proteins, small RNAs, hormones, metabolites, species, populations, individuals, ... Additional information can be attached to these nodes (GO term, protein family, functional motifs, cis-regulatory motifs, ...)

つひひ

Graphs are a way to represent biological knowledge

Nodes can be...

genes, mRNAs, proteins, small RNAs, hormones, metabolites, species, populations, individuals, ... Additional information can be attached to these nodes (GO term, protein family, functional motifs, cis-regulatory motifs, ...)

Relations can be...

- molecular regulation (transcriptional regulation, phosphorylation, acetylation, ...)
- molecular interaction (protein-protein, protein-siRNA, ...)
- enzymatic reactions
- genetic interactions (when gene A is mutated, gene B expression is up-regulated)
- co-localisation (genomic, sub-cellular, cellular, ...)
- • co-occurence (when two entities are syste[mat](#page-7-0)i[ca](#page-9-0)[ll](#page-5-0)[y](#page-6-0) [f](#page-8-0)[o](#page-9-0)[u](#page-1-0)[n](#page-2-0)[d](#page-17-0)[to](#page-1-0)[g](#page-2-0)[e](#page-17-0)[t](#page-18-0)[he](#page-0-0)[r\)](#page-84-0)

Example of a molecular network with molecular regulation

Nodes are genes Relations are transcriptional regulations

[\[de Leon and Davidson, 2006\]](#page-80-0)

Example of a molecular network with physical interactions

Nodes are proteins Relations are physical interactions (Y2H)

made from data in

[Arabidopsis [Interactome Mapping Consortium, 2011\]](#page-81-0)

Example of a metabolic network

Nodes are metabolites Relations are enzymatic reactions

Image taken from Project "Trypanosome" (F. Bringaud iMET team, RMSB, Bordeaux)

Example of an ecologic network

Nodes are species Relations are trophic links

[\[The QUINTESSENCE Consortium, 2016\]](#page-83-1)

 QQ

Example of a molecular network with heterogeneous information

Nodes

- shapes represent the nature of the entities
- colors indicate tissue localisation

Edges are direct molecular relations of different types

- reliability: bold, dashed, normal lines
- inhibition or activation: T-line or arrow

[\[La Rota et al., 2011\]](#page-81-1)

Model: simplified representation of reality

 $2Q$

э

Model: simplified representation of reality

Biological model

simplified representation of a biological process

Model: simplified representation of reality

Biological model

simplified representation of a biological process

Mathematical model

- simplified description of a system using mathematical concepts
- in particular, statistical models represent the data-generating process

つひひ

Model: simplified representation of reality

Biological model

simplified representation of a biological process

Mathematical model

- simplified description of a system using mathematical concepts
- in particular, statistical models represent the data-generating process

 Ω

biological interaction model = biological net[wor](#page-16-0)k $+$ [m](#page-18-0)[a](#page-18-0)[t](#page-2-0)[h](#page-2-0)[e](#page-17-0)mat[ic](#page-17-0)a[l](#page-0-0) [mod](#page-84-0)el

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

3 [How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

[How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Advantages and drawbacks of network visualization

Visualization helps understand the network macro-structure and provides an intuitive understanding of the network.

 QQ

Advantages and drawbacks of network visualization

Visualization helps understand the network macro-structure and provides an intuitive understanding of the network.

But all network visualizations are subjective and can mislead the person looking at it if not careful. [\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-2) Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation network

How to represent networks?

Many different algorithms that often produce solutions that are not unique (integrate some randomness)

Most popular: force directed placement algorithms

- Fruchterman & Reingold *[\[Fruchterman and Reingold, 1991\]](#page-80-1)*
- Kamada & Kawaï [\[Kamada and Kawai, 1989\]](#page-81-2)

Such algorithms are computationally extensive and hard to use with large networks (more than a few thousands nodes)

Another useful layout

• attribute circle layout (quick but can be hard to read)

 QQ

Network visualization software

(not only for biological networks)

- NetworkX (python library, not really interactive but produces javascript) <https://networkx.github.io>
- igraph (python and R libraries, not really interactive) <http://igraph.org>
- \mathbf{Q} \math
- ^{Keytoscape} Cytoscape (interactive) <http://cytoscape.org>
- Gephi Gephi (interactive) <gephi.org>

• ...

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

[How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

What is network topology?

Network topology

- study of the network global and local structure
- produces numerical summaries \Rightarrow biological interpretation

Credits: S.M.H. Oloomi, CC BY-SA 3.0, <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=35247515> (network)

and AJC1, CC BY-NC-SA 2.0, <https://www.flickr.com/photos/ajc1/4830932578> [\(](#page-36-0)[bi](#page-37-0)[ol](#page-17-0)[og](#page-18-0)[y](#page-57-0)[\)](#page-58-0)

つへへ

What is network topology?

Network topology

- study of the network global and local structure
- produces numerical summaries \Rightarrow biological interpretation

connected components are the connected subgraphs, i.e., parts of the graph in which any node can be reached from any other node by a path

composantes connexes

34 connected components

[\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-2) Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation network

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Density densité

Number of edges divided by the number of pairs of nodes.

[\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-2) Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation network: 423 nodes, 578 edges. Density: $\sim 0.64\%$

.
Asleoular Systems Elisiopy 4; Article number 213; doi:10.1038/mab.2008.52
Station: Minitestic Systems Mologh 4:213
D 2008 EMBO and Nature Publishing Group - All rights reserved 1744-4230/08 one modern Europe Brend Adaptators

REPORT

Survival of the sparsest: robust gene networks are parsimonious

Robert D Lecleco

Vegner Lab, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Blology, Yale University, New Hoven, CT, USA unpersons in county, and brownings enough, rate university, new news, u.c. upm.
Ig author, Wagner Lub, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Bislogy, Yale University, 165 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 6520, USA +1 203 687 9615; Fax: +1 203 432 3870; E-mail: robortJoden; @valo.edu

0.8.00 between 30.6.81 bevious

gical gene networks appear to be dynamically robust to mutation, stochasticity, and changes i the environment and also appear to be sparsely connected. Studies with computational models. however, have suggested that denser gene networks evolve to be more dynamically robust than sparser networks. We resolve this discrepancy by showing that misassumptions about how to

[\[Leclerc, 2008\]](#page-81-3): biological networks are generally sparsely connected (S. cerevisiae, E. coli, D. melanogaster transcriptional regulatory network densities < 0.1): evolutionary advantag[e f](#page-26-0)[or](#page-28-0) [p](#page-26-0)[re](#page-27-0)[s](#page-32-0)[e](#page-33-0)[r](#page-23-0)[vi](#page-24-0)[n](#page-36-0)[g](#page-37-0) [r](#page-17-0)[o](#page-18-0)[b](#page-57-0)[u](#page-58-0)[st](#page-0-0)[nes](#page-84-0)s?

milecular
systems

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Transitivity transitivité

Number of triangles divided by the number of triplets connected by at least two edges.

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Transitivity transitivité

Number of triangles divided by the number of triplets connected by at least two edges.

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Transitivity transitivité

Number of triangles divided by the number of triplets connected by at least two edges.

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Transitivity transitivité

Number of triangles divided by the number of triplets connected by at least two edges.

(mainly used for comparisons between networks or with random graphs having common characteristics with the real network)

Transitivity transitivité

Number of triangles divided by the number of triplets connected by at least two edges.

[\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-2) Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation network. Transitivity: ∼ 2.38%

 \gg density

Comparaison with random graphs (same number of nodes and edges, edges distributed at random between pairs of nodes): average transitivity is $~\sim$ 0.63%

 \Rightarrow strong local density in Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation network ("modularity" structure).

Key measures for other numerical characteristics

Node degree degré

number of edges adjacent to a given node or number of neighbors of the node

つひひ

Key measures for other numerical characteristics

Node degree degré

number of edges adjacent to a given node or number of neighbors of the node

[\[Jeong et al., 2000\]](#page-81-4) shows that degree distribution in metabolomic networks is "scale-free"

frequency of nodes having a degree of k $\sim k^{-\gamma}$ (highly skewed distributions)

Archaeoglobus fulgidus, E. coli,

Caenorhabditis elegans and average over 43 [or](#page-23-0)[ga](#page-24-0)[n](#page-36-0)[is](#page-37-0)[ms](#page-17-0)

Key measures for other numerical characteristics

Shortest path length (between two nodes)

minimal number of edges needed to reach a node from the other node through a path along the edges of the network

The shortest path length between red nodes is equal to 2.
Key measures for other numerical characteristics

Shortest path length (between two nodes)

minimal number of edges needed to reach a node from the other node through a path along the edges of the network

[\[Jeong et al., 2000\]](#page-81-0)

shows that shortest path length distribution is similar accross 43 species in metabolomic networks

observed average shortest path lengths is smaller than in random graph with uniform distribution of edges

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

[How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Network motifs [\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-0) showed that some specific motifs

are found significantly more often in Escherichia coli transcription network than in random networks with the same degree distribution.

Network motifs [\[Shen-Orr et al., 2002\]](#page-83-0) showed that some specific motifs

are found significantly more often in *Escherichia coli* transcription network than in random networks with the same degree distribution.

[\[Milo et al., 2002,](#page-82-0) [Lee et al., 2002,](#page-82-1) [Eichenberger et al., 2004,](#page-80-0) [Odom et al., 2004,](#page-82-2) [Boyer et al., 2005,](#page-80-1) [Iranfar et al., 2006\]](#page-81-1) show similar conclusion in various species (bacteria, yeast, higher organisms)

Node clustering classification

Cluster nodes into groups that are densely connected and share few links (comparatively) with the other groups. Clusters are often called communities communautés (social sciences) or modules modules (biology). [\[Fortunato, 2010\]](#page-80-2)

Node clustering classification

Cluster nodes into groups that are densely connected and share few links (comparatively) with the other groups. Clusters are often called communities communautés (social sciences) or modules modules (biology). [\[Fortunato, 2010\]](#page-80-2)

Simplification of a large complex network

BIOINFORMATICS

Subnetwork hierarchies of biochemical pathways Petter Holme ^{1.+}, Mikael Huss² and Hawoong Jeong¹

.
Department of Theoretical Physics, Limes, 901 87 Unset, Sweden, ²SANS, NADA
Roual Institute of Sectionarys, 193 Ad Stockholm, Sweden and ²Clarachment of чеув извесев от моглоокуу, т.м.++ овооктовт, оникаат али "Скратателт ог.
Physics, Kowa Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Taejon, 305-701. **Weeks**

Received on May 21, 2002, revised on September 13, 2002, accepted on November 1, 2002

ARSTRACT Motivation: The vastness and complexity of the biochemical networks that have been mapped out by modern complement to more detailed studies in that it can shell
generates calls for decomposition. Into submeterdes. I light on the slobal emanization of hischemical proveder ach networks can have interest non-local features that (cf. Wagner and Fell, 2001). Boxides the findings population procedure. Furthermore, basic granting the contempt properties such methods have
becomposition procedure. Furthermore, basic guardians - book to blockly argued blockgradies and methods have
unb as in about encod

Wagner, 2000; Wagner and Fell, 2001). This, the courses wagner, most wagner and rest, 2001). His, the council
layer of describing callular biochemistry, is a valuable

[\[Holme et al., 2003\]](#page-81-2) use clustering of metabolic networks to provide a simplified overview of the whole network and meaningful clusters

The second second

∢ ロ ▶ - ィ 何

Node clustering classification

Cluster nodes into groups that are densely connected and share few links (comparatively) with the other groups. Clusters are often called communities communautés (social sciences) or modules modules (biology). [\[Fortunato, 2010\]](#page-80-2)

Simplification of a large complex network

BIOINFORMATICS

Vol. 19 no. 4 2003, pages 532-538
DC1 13 1368/bis/Annualculage/SS

Subnetwork hierarchies of biochemical pathways Petter Holme ^{1.+}, Mikael Huss² and Hawoong Jeong¹

¹Department of Theoretical Physics, Limes, 901 87 Umas, Sweden, ²SANS, NADA
Result trails to all Surfacebons, 200 AA Revolution, Reaction and ²Clarachment of ricyal institute of Alconology, 1U1-44 pipercent, pellipsin and "Lepartment or
Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Solence and Technology, Taejon, 305-701. **Weeks**

Received on May 21, 2002, revised on September 13, 2002, accepted on November 1, 2002

AMTRACT Motivation: The vastness and complexity of the blochem ical networks that have been mapped out by modern
generates calls for decorrocalities into submitterefus. ach networks can have interest non-local features that (cf. Wagner and Fell, 2001). Boxides the findings

.
Wagner, 2000; Wagner and Fell, 2001). This, the coursest wagest, 2005, wagest and Pett, 2001). 188, the coatient
layer of describing callular biochemistry, is a valuable complement to more detailed studies in that it can shed light on the global organization of biochemical network population procedure. Furthermore, basic granting the contempt properties such methods have
becomposition procedure. Furthermore, basic guardians - book to blockly argued blockgradies and methods have
unb as in about encod

[\[Holme et al., 2003\]](#page-81-2) use clustering of metabolic networks to provide a simplified overview of the whole network and meaningful clusters

Identify key groups or key genes

Modular organization of cellular networks Alcoander W. Rives and Timothy Galleski¹ Author Afflictions Communicated by Larry Good, Institute for Contents Eleison, Candia, WA construct for recipe Across 54, 5602 Abstract Full Text Authors & Info Figures Metrics Related Content PDI Abstract We investigated the organization of interacting proteins and protein complexes into networks of modules. A rork-clustering method was developed to identify modules. This method of network-structure determination uses validated by clostering times signalize average mechanic and by identifiant module rudiments in exclusively high-throughput protein-interaction data with high error frequencies and low coverage. The signaling network controlling the years developmental transition to a filamentous form was

[\[Rives and Galitski, 2003\]](#page-83-1) use clustering in PPI network of yeast and found that proteins mostly interacting with members of their own cluster are often essential proteins.

clustered. Abstraction of a modular network-structure model identified module-organizer proteins and

Hubs

Nodes with a high degree are called hubs: measure of the node popularity.

[\[Jeong et al., 2000\]](#page-81-0) show that the hubs are practically identical in metabolic networks among many species

[\[Lu et al., 2007\]](#page-82-3) show that hubs have low changes in expression and have significantly different functions than peripherical nodes

STORY

ミドマミド

 Ω

BS and Salve Public
Incorporations pronon

Hubs in biological interaction networks exhibit low changes in expression in experimental asthma

Kin Lu¹²", Visual V Jake¹, Patricia W Fire² and David L Perkins³²

.
Helfer Modeler, University of Calfornia at Bar Diego, San Diego, CA, USA, ³. Department of Medicine, University of California at Bar
C³ Classatment of Summo: University of California at Alex Clause. Kan Clause. CA I Siege, San Dage, SA, USA and ¹ Department of Suppy, University of California at San Dage, SA, USA.
1. Companying author, Department of Paralysian Procedures, University of California at San Dage, 1900 Steve Dr, MC 9545,

Bested to the analysis the

Authors is a complex polygonic discuss involving the interaction of many genes. In this study, we innostigated the alleggic response in experimental actions. Pics, we unstructed a biological certain proposes in experimental actions of the action of the signal carry and the signal control of the signal control of the si roamsy data onto the network. Third, we analyzed the topological characteristics of the

NETBIO [Networks: what? what for? how?](#page-0-0) JCEDMLM²FMGRNV² 24 / 38

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

The orange node's degree is equal to 3, its betweenness to 4.

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

The orange node's degree is equal to 3, its betweenness to 4.

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

The orange node's degree is equal to 3, its betweenness to 4.

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

The orange node's degree is equal to 3, its betweenness to 4.

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

The orange node's degree is equal to 3, its betweenness to 4.

Betweenness (of a node) centralité

number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes that pass through the node. Betweenness is a centrality measure (nodes that are likely to disconnect the network if removed).

OREN A ACCESS Exposure and table on the o

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL RIOLOGY

The Importance of Bottlenecks in Protein Networks: Correlation with Gene Essentiality and Expression Dynamics

Haiyuan Yu^{1,2,36}, Philip M. Kim¹⁶, Emmett Sprecher^{1,4}, Valery Trifonov⁵, Mark Gerstein^{1,4,5*}

1 Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry. Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, 2 Department of Genetics. Havard Medical School, Boston, Macachusetts, United States of America: 8 Department of Cancer Bology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Macachusetts, United States of America. 4 Program in Computational Biology and Bioleformatics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, & Department of Computer Science, Yale University, New Hayen, Connecticut, United States of America

It has been a long-standing goal in systems biology to find relations between the topological properties and functional features of protein networks. However, most of the focus in network studies has been on highly connected proteins ("hubs"). As a complementary notion, it is possible to define bottlenecks as proteins with a high betweenness centrality (i.e., network nodes that have many "shortest paths" going through them, analogous to major bridges and tunnels on a highway map). Bottlenecks are, in fact, key connector proteins with surprising functional and dynamic properties. In particular, they are more likely to be essential proteins. In fact, in requlatory and other directed networks, betweenness (i.e., "bottleneck-ness") is a much more significant indicator of essentiality than degree (i.e., [\[Yu et al., 2007\]](#page-84-0) show that nodes with high betweenness in PPI networks are key connector proteins and are more likely to be essential proteins.

- → ロ → → *白*

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0)

[Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

[How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Principle of status prediction based on a biological network

Available data: a network in which nodes are labeled by (incomplete) information (e.g., GO term, disease status...) Question: complete the information of nodes with unknown status

Principle of status prediction based on a biological network

Available data: a network in which nodes are labeled by (incomplete) information (e.g., GO term, disease status...) Question: complete the information of nodes with unknown status

Solution: Rule based on a majority vote among the neighbours. If the score is greater than a given threshold, then status is selected. [\[Zaag, 2016\]](#page-84-1)

Prediction model using a graph

Available data: a set of gene expression profiles and a gene network (on the same genes) Question: predict the status of a sample (e.g., healthy / not healthy)

つひひ

Prediction model using a graph

Available data: a set of gene expression profiles and a gene network (on the same genes) Question: predict the status of a sample (e.g., healthy / not healthy)

[\[Rapaport et al., 2007\]](#page-82-4) using the network knowledge improves the results by producing solutions that have similar contributions for genes connected by the network

regression model with network based penalization

Differential expression using a graph

Available data: a set of gene expression obtained in two conditions and a gene network (on the same genes) Question: find genes that are differentially expressed between the two conditions

Differential expression using a graph

Available data: a set of gene expression obtained in two conditions and a gene network (on the same genes) Question: find genes that are differentially expressed between the two conditions

standard approach

independant tests and multiple test corrections

But: multiple test corrections are made for independant tests and genes are strongly correlated

つひひ

Differential expression using a graph

Available data: a set of gene expression obtained in two conditions and a gene network (on the same genes) Question: find genes that are differentially expressed between the two conditions

standard approach

independant tests and multiple test corrections

But: multiple test corrections are made for independant tests and genes are strongly correlated

using the network (T. Ha's Thesis "A multivariate learning penalized method for a joined inference of gene expression levels and gene regulatory networks")

a regression model for incorporating the information on gene dependency structure provided by the network into the differential analysis

Outline

1 [What are networks/graphs?](#page-2-0)

2 [What are networks useful for in biology?](#page-18-0) [Visualization](#page-19-0) [Simple analyses based on network topology](#page-24-0) [More advanced analyses based on network topology](#page-37-0) [Biological interaction models](#page-50-0)

3 [How to build networks?](#page-58-0)

Standard methods for network inference

• bibliographic (expert based) inference (automatic language processing, ontology, text mining, ...) [\[Huang and Lu, 2016\]](#page-81-3) Advantages: uses large expertise knowledge from biological databases

Standard methods for network inference

- bibliographic (expert based) inference (automatic language processing, ontology, text mining, ...) [\[Huang and Lu, 2016\]](#page-81-3) Advantages: uses large expertise knowledge from biological databases
- statistical methods: from transcriptomic measures, infer network with
	- nodes: genes;
	- edges: dependency structure obtained from a statistical model (different meanings)

Advantages: can handle interactions with yet unknown genes and deal with data collected in specific conditions

つひひ

Standard methods for network inference

- bibliographic (expert based) inference (automatic language processing, ontology, text mining, ...) [\[Huang and Lu, 2016\]](#page-81-3) Advantages: uses large expertise knowledge from biological databases
- statistical methods: from transcriptomic measures, infer network with
	- nodes: genes;
	- edges: dependency structure obtained from a statistical model (different meanings)

Advantages: can handle interactions with yet unknown genes and deal with data collected in specific conditions Most widely used methods: relevance network, Gaussian graphical models (GGM), Bayesian models [\[Pearl, 1998,](#page-82-5) [Pearl and Russel, 2002,](#page-82-6) [Scutari, 2010\]](#page-83-2) (R package bnlearn)

Correlation networks and GGM

Data: gene expression data

つひひ

Using correlations: relevance network [\[Butte and Kohane, 1999,](#page-80-3) [Butte and Kohane, 2000\]](#page-80-4)

First (naive) approach: calculate correlations between expressions for all pairs of genes, threshold the smallest ones and build the network.

But correlation is not causality...

But correlation is not causality...

But correlation is not causality...

Networks are built using partial correlations, i.e., correlations between gene expressions knowing the expression of all the other genes (residual correlations).

つひひ

GGM

Assumptions: $(X_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables $\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$ (gene expression)

 \leftarrow \Box

э

 $2Q$

GGM

Assumptions: $(X_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables $\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$ (gene expression)

GGM definition

• Partial correlation formulation

 $j\longleftrightarrow j'$ (genes j and j' are linked) \Leftrightarrow $\mathbb{C}\text{or }\big(X^j,X^{j'}|(X^k)_{k\neq j,j'}\big)\neq 0$

GGM

Assumptions: $(X_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables $\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$ (gene expression)

GGM definition

• Partial correlation formulation

$$
j \longleftrightarrow j'(\textsf{genes } j \textsf{ and } j' \textsf{ are linked}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}\textsf{or}\left(X^j,X^{j'}|(X^k)_{k\neq j,j'}\right)\neq 0
$$

• Regression formulation

$$
X^j = \sum_{j' \neq j} \beta_{jj'} X^{j'} + \epsilon \qquad \beta_{jj'} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow j \longleftrightarrow j' \text{ (genes } j \text{ and } j' \text{ are linked)}
$$

÷.

Mathematical background

Theoretically: If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ then for $S = \Sigma^{-1}$

• partial correlation formulation

$$
\mathbb{C}\text{or}\left(X^{j},X^{j'}|(X^{k})_{k\neq j,j'}\right)=-\frac{S_{jj'}}{\sqrt{S_{jj}S_{j'j'}}}
$$

• regression formulation

$$
\beta_{jj'}=-\frac{S_{jj'}}{S_{jj}}
$$

Mathematical background

Theoretically: If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ then for $S = \Sigma^{-1}$

• partial correlation formulation

$$
\mathbb{C}\text{or}\left(X^{j},X^{j'}|(X^{k})_{k\neq j,j'}\right)=-\frac{S_{jj'}}{\sqrt{S_{jj}S_{j'j'}}}
$$

• regression formulation

$$
\beta_{jj'}=-\frac{\mathcal{S}_{jj'}}{\mathcal{S}_{jj}}
$$

In practice:

- Since p (number of genes) is often large compared to n (number of samples), S is hard to estimate.
- After the estimation, entries of S are not null \Rightarrow How to select the "largest" entries in S ?

つひひ
Some solutions

1 Seminal work

[\[Schäfer and Strimmer, 2005a,](#page-83-0) [Schäfer and Strimmer, 2005b\]](#page-83-1) (implemented in the R package GeneNet)

- Estimation of S: regularization for inversion of Σ
- • Edge selection: Bayesian approach

Some solutions

1 Seminal work

[\[Schäfer and Strimmer, 2005a,](#page-83-0) [Schäfer and Strimmer, 2005b\]](#page-83-1) (implemented in the R package GeneNet)

- Estimation of S: regularization for inversion of Σ
- Edge selection: Bayesian approach

2 Sparse approach

[\[Friedman et al., 2008,](#page-80-0) [Meinshausen and Bühlmann, 2006\]](#page-82-0) (implemented in the R package huge)

- estimation and selection performed together
- uses the regression framework in which a "sparse" penalty is added (LASSO or Graphical LASSO)

つへへ

Important notices

• ultra-high dimensionality: if p is the number of genes, n the number of samples and k the (true) number of edges of a network, ultra-high dimensionality means that $k\left[1+\log\left(\frac{p(p-1)/2}{k}\right)\right]$ $\left\lceil\frac{(-1)/2}{k}\right\rceil$ is ''large'' compared to n

つひひ

Important notices

• ultra-high dimensionality: if p is the number of genes, n the number of samples and k the (true) number of edges of a network, ultra-high dimensionality means that $k\left[1+\log\left(\frac{p(p-1)/2}{k}\right)\right]$ $\left\lceil\frac{(-1)/2}{k}\right\rceil$ is ''large'' compared to n In this case, there is no hope to estimate the network [\[Verzelen, 2012\]](#page-84-0).

Important notices

• ultra-high dimensionality: if p is the number of genes, n the number of samples and k the (true) number of edges of a network, ultra-high dimensionality means that $k\left[1+\log\left(\frac{p(p-1)/2}{k}\right)\right]$ $\left\lceil\frac{(-1)/2}{k}\right\rceil$ is ''large'' compared to n In this case, there is no hope to estimate the network [\[Verzelen, 2012\]](#page-84-0).

• applicability: Gaussian models are well designed for microarray datasets. However, extension to RNA-seq data is non trivial and still under development.

Take home message...

networks are useful to model complex systems

 QQQ

Take home message...

networks are useful to model complex systems networks can be built

with various approaches that define what they can be used for

networks are useful information that can be integrated in biological models to improve knowledge

networks are useful to model complex systems networks can be built

with various approaches that define what they can be used for

 QQQ

References

Boyer, L., Lee, T., Cole, M., Johnstone, S., Levine, S., Zucker, J., Guenther, M., Kumar, R., Murray, H., Jenner, R., Gifford, D., Melton, D., Jaenisch, R., and Young, R. (2005). Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell, 122(6):947–956.

Butte, A. and Kohane, I. (1999).

Unsupervised knowledge discovery in medical databases using relevance networks. In Proceedings of the AMIA Symposium, pages 711–715.

Butte, A. and Kohane, I. (2000).

Mutual information relevance networks: functional genomic clustering using pairwise entropy measurements. In Proceedings of the Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing, pages 418–429.

de Leon, S. and Davidson, E. (2006).

Deciphering the underlying mechanism of specification and differentiation: the sea urchin gene regulatory network.

Science's STKE, 361:pe47.

Eichenberger, P., Fujita, M., Jensen, S., Conlon, E., Rudner, D., Wang, S., Ferguson, C., Haga, K., Sato, T.,

Liu, J., and Losick, R. (2004).

The program of gene transcription for a single differentiating cell type during sporulation in bacillus subtilis. PLoS Biology, 2(30):e328.

Fortunato, S. (2010).

Community detection in graphs. Physics Reports, 486:75–174.

Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2008). Sparse inverse covariance estimation with the graphical lasso. Biostatistics, 9(3):432–441.

Fruchterman, T. and Reingold, B. (1991).

4 D F

Graph drawing by force-directed placement.

Software, Practice and Experience, 21:1129–1164.

Holme, P., Huss, M., and Jeong, H. (2003). Subnetwork hierarchies of biochemical pathways. Bioinformatics, 19(4):532–538.

Huang, C. and Lu, Z. (2016).

Community challenges in biomedical text mining over 10 years: success, failure and the future. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 17(1):132–144.

≣■

Iranfar, N., Fuller, D., and Loomis, W. (2006).

Transcriptional regulation of post-aggregation genes in dictyostelium by a feed-forward loop involving GBF and LagC.

Developmental Biology, 290(9):460–469.

Arabidopsis Interactome Mapping Consortium (2011).

Evidence for network evolution in an arabidopsis interactome map. Science, 333(6042):601–607.

Jeong, H., Tombor, B., Albert, R., Oltvai, Z., and Barabási, A. (2000).

The large scale organization of metabolic networks. Nature, 407:651–654.

Kamada, T. and Kawai, S. (1989).

An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. Information Processing Letters, 31(1):7–15.

A data-driven integrative model of sepal primordium polarity in arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 23(12):4318–4333.

Leclerc, R. (2008).

- → ロ → → *←* →

Survival of the sparsest: robust gene networks are parsimonious. Molecular Systems Biology, 4:213.

Lee, T., Rinaldi, N., Robert, F., Odom, D., Bar-Joseph, Z., Gerber, G., Hannett, N., Harbison, C., Thompson, C., Simon, I., Zeitlinger, J., Jennings, E., Murray, H., Gordon, D., Ren, B., Wyrick, J., Tagne, J., Volkert, T., Fraenkel, E., Gifford, D., and Young, R. (2002). Transcriptional regulatory networks in saccharomyces cerevisiae. Science.

Lu, X., Jain, V., Finn, P., and Perkins, D. (2007).

Hubs in biological interaction networks exhibit low changes in expression in experimental asthma. Molecular Systems Biology, 3:98.

Meinshausen, N. and Bühlmann, P. (2006).

High dimensional graphs and variable selection with the Lasso. Annals of Statistic, 34(3):1436–1462.

Milo, R., Shen-Orr, S., Itzkovitz, S., Kashtan, N., Chklovskii, D., and Alon, U. (2002).

Network motifs: simple building blocks of complex networks. Science, 298(5594):824–827.

Odom, D., Zizlsperger, N., Gordon, D., Bell, G., Rinaldi, N., Murray, H., Volkert, T., Schreiber, J., Rolfe, P., Gifford, D., Fraenkel, E., Bell, G., and Young, R. (2004). Control of pancreas and liver gene expression by HNF transcription factors. Science, 303(5662):1378–1381.

Pearl, J. (1998).

Probabilistic reasoning in intelligent systems: networks of plausible inference. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, California, USA.

Pearl, J. and Russel, S. (2002).

Bayesian Networks. Bradford Books (MIT Press), Cambridge, Massachussets, USA.

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow \rightarrow

Rapaport, F., Zinovyev, A., Dutreix, M., Barillot, E., and Vert, J. (2007).

Classification of microarray data using gene networks. BMC Bioinformatics, 8:35.

Rives, A. and Galitski, T. (2003).

Modular organization of cellular networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(3):1128–1133.

Schäfer, J. and Strimmer, K. (2005a).

An empirical bayes approach to inferring large-scale gene association networks. Bioinformatics, 21(6):754–764.

Schäfer, J. and Strimmer, K. (2005b).

A shrinkage approach to large-scale covariance matrix estimation and implication for functional genomics. Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology, 4:1–32.

Scutari, M. (2010).

Learning Bayesian networks with the bnlearn R package. Journal of Statistical Software, 35(3):1–22.

Shen-Orr, S., Milo, R., Mangan, S., and Alon, U. (2002).

Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of escherichia coli. Nature Genetics, 31:64–68.

The QUINTESSENCE Consortium (2016).

Networking our way to better ecosystem service provision. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31(2):105–115.

Vernoux, T., Brunoud, G., Farcot, EtienneE.and Morin, V., Van den Daele, H., Legrand, J., Oliva, M., Das,

P., Larrieu, A., Wells, D., Guédon, Y., Armitage, L., Picard, F., Guyomarc'h, S., Cellier, C., Parry, G., Koumproglou, R., Doonan, J., Estelle, M., Godin, C., Kepinski, S., Bennett, M., De Veylder, L., and Traas, J. (2011).

The auxin signalling network translates dynamic input into robust patterning at the shoot apex. Molecular Systems Biology, 7:508. \leftarrow \Box

 Ω

э

Verzelen, N. (2012).

Minimax risks for sparse regressions: ultra-high-dimensional phenomenons. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 6:38–90.

Yu, H., Kim, P., Sprecher, E., Trifonov, V., and Gerstein, M. (2007).

The importance of bottlenecks in protein networks: correlation with gene essentiality and expression dynamics.

PLoS Computational Biology, 3(4):e59.

Zaag, R. (2016).

Enrichissement de profils transcriptomiques par intégration de données hétérogènes : annotation fonctionnelle de gènes d'Arabidopsis thaliana impliqués dans la réponse aux stress. Thèse de doctorat, Université Paris Saclay, Saint-Aubin, France.